

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,656	10/30/2003	Pi-Hua Hsieh	AP3049-GVF3BA04 7785	
7590 07/16/2004 Pi-Hua Hsieh 235 Chung-Ho			EXAMINER	
			CHAN, KO HUNG	
Box 8-24			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Taipei, TAIWAN			3632 DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	
IAIWAN				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\sim$			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
		10/696,656	HSIEH, PI-HUA				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Korie H. Chan	3632				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence addre	9SS			
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this comm	nunication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	ctober 2003.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or e						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR <sup>2</sup>	1.121(d). 152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part of the priority documents and copies of the priority documents and copies of the priority documents.  Certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents.	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	nge			
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/696,656

Art Unit: 3632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1. Framework of figure 6 with the retaining seat shown in figures 1-figure2B.
- 2. Framework of figure 6 with the retaining seat shown in figure 3.
- 3. Framework of figure 6 with the retaining seat shown in figure 4.
- 4. Framework of figure 6 with the retaining seat shown in figure 5.
- 5. Framework of figure 7 with the retaining seat shown in figures 1-figure2B.
- 6. Framework of figure 7 with the retaining seat shown in figure 3.
- 7. Framework of figure 7 with the retaining seat shown in figure 4.
- 8. Framework of figure 7 with the retaining seat shown in figure 5.
- 9. Framework of figure 8 with the retaining seat shown in figures 1-figure2B.
- 10. Framework of figure 8 with the retaining seat shown in figure 3.
- 11. Framework of figure 8 with the retaining seat shown in figure 4.
- 12. Framework of figure 8 with the retaining seat shown in figure 5.
- 13. Framework of figure 9 with the retaining seat shown in figures 1-figure2B.
- 14. Framework of figure 9 with the retaining seat shown in figure 3.
- 15. Framework of figure 9 with the retaining seat shown in figure 4.
- 16. Framework of figure 9 with the retaining seat shown in figure 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,656

Art Unit: 3632

- 17. Framework of figures 10-11 with the retaining seat shown in figures 1-figure2B.
- 18. Framework of figures 10-11 with the retaining seat shown in figure 3.
- 19. Framework of figures 10-11 with the retaining seat shown in figure 4.
- 20. Framework of figures 10-11 with the retaining seat shown in figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/696,656

Art Unit: 3632

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Korie H. Chan

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3632

khc July 13, 2004